

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/925,907	08/09/2001	Masaki Hamamoto	70904-56378	4511	
21874 75	590 03/10/2004		EXAMINER		
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP P.O. BOX 55874			RODRIGUEZ,	RODRIGUEZ, GLENDA P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, MA 02205			L	PAPER NUMBER	
			2651		
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Cannable   Cannabl		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Clerked P. Rodriguez   2851		09/925,907	HAMAMOTO ET AL.			
Preirod for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Elementors of time maybe existed used for the processor of 3 CFR 1.136(s). In an event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Elementors of time maybe existed used for the processor of 3 CFR 1.136(s). In an event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Elementors of the reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply whiten the addatory minimum of thirty (30) days, and will expire 50 (MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication of the specified to reply its specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply whiten the addatory minimum of thirty (30) days, will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply whiten the state of the processor. The specified is the specified of the communication of the specified of the communication of the specified and the communication.  Any reply received by the Office lister libar thires mouths after the mailing date of this communication.  Any reply received by the Office lister libar thires mouths after the mailing date of this communication.  Any reply received by the Office lister libar thires mouths after the mailing date of this communication.  The Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of throw range is available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled  - Extensions of throw range is available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled  - Extensions of throw range is available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled  - Extensions of throw range is available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(s). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled  - Extensions of throw range is available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.35(s). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled  - If NO period for reply specified above, he maximum statistory product will apply within the statistory minimum of thiny (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, he maximum statistory product will apply will in the statistory minimum of thiny (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, he maximum statistory product will apply will in the statistory minimum of thiny (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, he maximum statistory product will be considered timely.  - Apply and the application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  - Disposition of Claims  - 4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  - 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  - 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  - 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  - 8) ☐ Claim(s)						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 3 CFR 1.15(g). In no event, however, may a rophy be timely filed after 5X (5) MCNT155 from the mailing date of the communication. Private 5X (5) MCNT155 from the mailing date of the communication. Private 5X (5) MCNT155 from the mailing date of the communication. Private 5X (5) MCNT155 from the mailing date of the communication of the private from the private from the mailing date of the communication. Private from the private from the mailing date of the communication. Private from the mailing date of the communication. Private from the mailing date of the communication. Private from the mailing date of the communication, own if timely filed, may reduce any exercise placet term allocations. So 37 GFR 1.764(8).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Isince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1.18 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  7) Claim(s)						
1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any</li> </ul>					
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: all accepted or bi objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) of Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status					
3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)   Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5   Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6   Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7   Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  4   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  4   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  4   The part of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)   accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner.  5   Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  7   Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  12   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  3   All   b)   Some * c)   None of:  1   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(e)  1   Interview Summary (PTO-413)   Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.				
A) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-992)  2 □ Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-143) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is			
4)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.					
Claim(s)   1-18 is/are rejected.   7)						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.					
Application Papers  9						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)						
12)						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  1 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  9 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	See the attached detailed Onice action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
r aper reo(s) relate $\underline{z}$ .	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2651

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 10, 14, 15, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Onagi (US Patent No. 5, 757, 736) in view of Nakayama et al. (US Patent No. 5, 666, 332).

Regarding Claims 1, 7, 15 and 17, Onagi teaches a method of a magnetic signal recording method, comprising: Recording arbitrary information in a region on a magnetic recording medium where a coercive force has been varied with local heating, in accordance with a magnetic field from a magnetic recording head (Col. 2, Lines 45-47. Onagi teaches using a laser light to record information.), wherein an edge of a recordable region on said magnetic recording medium is located in a position in which substantial equality is attained between (a) a coercive force in the region where the coercive force on said magnetic recording medium has been varied (Col. 2, Lines 35-42. Onagi teaches layer that have variable coercive forces ranges, therefore, the medium is able to vary the coercive force in any of the two recording layers.) Onagi fails to teach wherein the magnetic field intensity in an in-track position in which a magnetic field distribution generated by said magnetic recording head is lowered at a greatest rate. However, this feature is well known in the art as disclosed by Nakayama et al., wherein it teaches a medium in which the light intensity is controlled between a high power and a low power in order to control the magnetization (Col. 2, Lines 39-46. It would have been obvious to a person of

Art Unit: 2651

ordinary skill in the art to know that if the intensity fluctuates between two different powers, the light intensity (and by consequence the rate) will lower or rise.). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify Onagi's invention in order to modify the intensity of the light to control the rate in order to control the intensity of the recording laser.

Apparatus claim 18 is drawn to the apparatus corresponding to the method of using same as claimed in claims 1, 7, 15 and 17. Therefore apparatus claim 18 corresponds to method claims 1, 7, 15 and 17, and is rejected for the same reasons of obviousness as used above.

Regarding Claims 2 and 10, Onagi and Nakayama et al. teach all the limitations of Claim 1. Nakayama et al. further teach wherein said magnetic recording medium and said magnetic recording head, which records a magnetic bit, have a space between themselves in a direction vertical to a film surface of said magnetic recording medium, said space being smaller than a length of the magnetic bit with respect to the track (Pat. No. 5, 666, 332; Fig. 1. Nakayama et al. teaches bits (magnetic transitions in the disks) in which the spacing between the bits and the gaps are smaller than the bit size.). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify Onagi's invention in order to modify the intensity of the light to control the rate in order to control the intensity of the recording laser.

Regarding Claims 6 and 14, Onagi and Nakayama et al. teach all the limitations of Claims 1 and 7, respectively. Nakayama et al. further teach wherein said magnetic recording medium is a magnetic film whose coercive force is lowered at a greater rate as a temperature rises in a region having a low temperature within a temperature range than a region having a high temperature within the temperature range, where the coercive force and the magnetic field intensity are equal

Art Unit: 2651

within the temperature range (Col. 6, Line 55 to Col. 6, Line 50. Nakayama et al. teach a method in which the light intensity is changed (could be higher or lower) according to the layer of the disk.). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify Onagi's invention in order to modify the intensity of the light to control the rate in order to control the intensity of the recording laser.

3. Claims 4, 5, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Onagi and Nakayama et al. as applied to claims 1 and 7, respectively above, and further in view of Greidanus et al. (US Patent No. 5, 371, 721).

Regarding Claims 4 and 12, Onagi and Nakayama et al. teach all the limitations of Claims 1 and 7, respectively. Onagi and Nakayama et al. fail to teach wherein said magnetic recording head applies and distributes a recording magnetic field in a rectangular shape on said magnetic recording medium. However, this feature is well known in the art as disclosed by Greidanus et al., wherein it teaches a recording magnetic field in a rectangular shape on said magnetic recording medium (Pat. No. 5, 371, 721; Col. 4, Lines 57-65). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify Onagi and Nakayama et al.'s invention in order to fix the positions in the magnetic domains.

Regarding Claims 5 and 13, Onagi and Nakayama et al. teach all the limitations of Claims 1 and 7, respectively. Onagi and Nakayama et al. fail to teach wherein the edge of the recordable region is positioned in a region where a temperature distribution of a heated magnetic recording medium makes concentric circles. However, this feature is well known in the art as disclosed by Greidanus et al., wherein it teaches teach wherein the edge of the recordable region is positioned in a region where a temperature distribution of a heated magnetic recording

Art Unit: 2651

medium makes concentric circles (Pat. No. 5, 371, 721; Fig. 3). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify Onagi and Nakayama et al.'s invention in order to fix the positions in the magnetic domains.

- 4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Onagi and Nakayama et al. as applied to claim7 above, and further in view of Miyata et al. (US Patent No. 6, 611, 388). Onagi and Nakayama et al. teach all the limitations of Claim 7. Onagi and Nakayama et al. fail to teach wherein said magnetic recording medium, which has the axis of easy magnetization vertical to the film surface of said magnetic recording medium, includes a soft magnetic layer. However, this feature is well known in the art as disclosed by Miyata et al., wherein it teaches which has the axis of easy magnetization vertical to the film surface of said magnetic recording medium, includes a soft magnetic layer (Pat. No. 6, 611, 388; Col. 6, Lines 35-51). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify Onagi and Nakayama et al.'s invention in order to generate a sufficient magnetic field.
- 5. Claims 9 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Onagi and Nakayama et al. as applied to claims 7 and 15, respectively above, and further in view of Fukamashi et al. (US Patent No. 5, 706, 259). Onagi and Nakayama et al. teach all the limitations of Claim 7. Onagi and Nakayama et al. fail to teach wherein said magnetic recording medium is a magnetic recording medium having high magnetic anisotropy in a vertical direction. However, this feature is well known in the art as disclosed by Fukamashi et al., wherein it teaches magnetic recording medium is a magnetic recording medium having high magnetic anisotropy in a vertical direction (Pat. No. 5, 706, 259; Col. 12, Lines 30-42). It would have

Art Unit: 2651

been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify Onagi and Nakayama's invention in order to prevent cross erasing.

6. Claims 3 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Onagi and Nakayama et al. as applied to claims 1 and 7, respectively above, and further in view of Ishida et al. (US Patent No. 6, 347, 016). Onagi and Nakayama et al. teach all the limitations of Claims 1 and 7, respectively. Onagi and Nakayama et al. fail to teach wherein said magnetic recording medium has a film thickness thinner than the length of the magnetic bit with respect to the track, where the magnetic bit is recorded by said magnetic recording head. However, this feature is well known in the art as disclosed by Ishida et al., wherein it teaches that the film thickness is thinner than the bit length (Col. 10, Lines 34-54). ). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify Onagi and Nakayama's invention in order to generate sufficient magnetic field for writing in the disk.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenda P. Rodriguez whose telephone number is (703)305-8411. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday: 7:00-5:00; alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on (703)308-4825. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ह्म March 4, 2004.

> DAVID HUDSPETH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600